7/14/2016 David Madore

David Madore

July 9 at 12:46am ·



Citizens successfully submitted their second Referendum Petition today:

Citizens are once against standing up for their rights after the County Council majority of Marc Boldt, Julie Olson, and Jeanne Stewart stripped rural citizens of their private property rights.

The citizen rejected Comp Plan was adopted by ordinance on June 28 by the council majority. Citizens had 10 days to collect enough signatures to suspend the offending ordinance for at least 120 days. A minimum of ... See More

CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE					
Referendum Petition					
o land use; adopting an updateroviding on effective date; an	ty by signing this Referendum Petition, r ted Growth Management Comprehensived d requiring notice" passed by the Clark igning this form, I am declaring that I am	re Land Use Pfi County Counc n a registered	on, zoning maps and zoning ordin il on June 28, 2016, is to be suspe voter in Clark County.	ances; providing for nded until the prov	r severability;
					the same of the sa
# Printed Name	Signature	M/D/Y	Street Address	City	Zip

Like Comment Share

AGING

Don Railsback, John Ley and 10 others

Chronological

2 shares



Stephaniea Chavez How do people collect the signatures? and where?

Like · Reply · July 9 at 1:12am



David Madore Stephaniea Chavez, after the petition signatures are validated and the green light to proceed is clear, I expect those that headed up this effort to be the ones to help lead the effort.

Like · Reply · July 9 at 1:17am · Edited



David Clark Finally someone says: We don't want to repeat Portland's mistakes in Clark County, for that is what the comp plan is - a clone of Portland's comp plan. It will make housing more unaffordable, increase traffic congestion, put more traffic on neighborhood streets, increase density in existing neighborhoods and increase taxes.'

Like · Reply · 2 · July 9 at 4:26am



David Clark I just noticed that the Columbian has another hit piece on David. In this one he actually shows how totally ignorant he is. He says "the most basic rule of growth: Avoid urban sprawl."

Lou is too uninformed to now that there are only two choices: Build unaffordable, congested high density or spread out with affordable low density. Portland chose the first path and turned a liviable, affordable city into a high density hell with many neighborhoods on the verge of rebellion due to forced densification, unaffordable housing, high taxes (density DOES NOT pay its fair share), terrible traffic congestion. This appears to be what ignorant Lou wants.

Of course his puppermaster (the paper's owners) will get even richer from the land they own in downtown which will go up in value on the backs of people who will bankrupt themselves to pay the rent for tiny apartments. Dispicable.

Like · Reply · July 9 at 1:31pm · Edited



Don Railsback David Madore, I'm not quite so sure the citizens would be stuck with the comp plan for 20 more years. I understand there is a law that requires one be submitted every 20 years, but unless I missed something, I don't think the law bars the county from redoing it prior to the end of 20 years.

If I am correct on this, and I am not saying I am, this plan could be replaced in short order by a new board.

Like · Reply · July 9 at 4:26pm · Edited



David Madore Don Railsback, the GMA requires each 20 year plan to be updated every 10 years. The three councilors that stuck citizens with the same 1994 plan told citizens during the final hearing that they would fix this disaster within a year.

7/14/2016 David Madore

Of course they arg... See More

Like · Reply · 2 · July 9 at 9:54pm · Edited



Don Railsback Those citizens who have been harmed by this decision will be entitled to damages and attorney fees. Depending on how many sue, those damages will be well into the millions; probably more than \$10+ million. there are lots of landowners affected.

a de la

Like · Reply · July 9 at 9:59pm