

### UGB Expansion Area Survey

Sam Crummett and Erin Erdman reached out to all the property owners with a letter and via phone to all property owners in the URB expansion area (80 acres) on 11/30/16 and received the following comments.

#### Out of 16 property owners we talked with 8 and left messages for the others

Chris Pruitt, Retired with his wife, 687-5332. He said that in general he would prefer the highest and best use of his property given that he and his wife are retired and will likely try to sell his property. If his property had the ability to further subdivide he would like be in favor of that. He said that he's also somewhat fine with the properties not getting smaller than the 5 acres lot sizes and would be open to hearing what others in the area think.

Mary and P. Brent Stacy, retired couple, 503-6450736. They said that they would not be opposed to a 10-acre overlay (or basically they want to maintain their 5 acres and would not like to see properties further subdivided at this time). They enjoy the rural lifestyle, but do realize growth is inevitable and at some point expect the City will expand into this area.

David and Aleta Beck, 687-2289. They were not excited about being in the UGB to begin with. They have a small tree farm so would prefer to stay in the County. They do not have an issue with the Urban Reserve Overlay because it does not hinder their property from current practices.

Michael Bernath 904-2880, Are looking forward to being a part of the City some day and have no opposition to our proposal to take them out at this time and place an Urban Reserve Overlay.

Cherry Grove Friends Church, 687-3159, spoke with office manager who did not think they would have an issue or it would affect them, however she is leaving a message with a gentleman to call me back if they have further questions.

Berton Clafin, 573-2383, No opposition to the proposal. They were not comfortable with the mixed use zone proposed anyway so they feel more comfortable with it staying residential. They would like to be part of the City eventually and see a more urban single family zone or potentially multi-family.

Andrew Jacobson, 503-803-9871, No opposition to the proposal, would like to be a part of the City at some point but this did not affect his wants for his property in the near future.

WSDOT, contact Debbie Dunn, 905-2000, Real Estate manager. She said their two properties in this area, west and north of the Cherry Grove Church, are being used as a mitigation site and for storm water management. She said these properties will continue to be used for such purposes in perpetuity. WSDOT would not be opposed to a 10-acre overlay restriction.

Joe Melo, [joe@hintondevelopment.com](mailto:joe@hintondevelopment.com), 546-1220. Joe was disappointed to hear the UGA expansion proposal may be in jeopardy. He was hoping to develop his property under the proposed Mixed Use once it was brought into the City. He said he would not be opposed to an overlay restriction, if this helps preserve the area to make it easier to convert to urban development in the future.